

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/868,845	Applicant(s) MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Gordon J. Stock	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after ex parte quayle

(1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Robert Downs.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006

Time: 12:15pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: See Examiner's Amendment attached. An Examiner's amendment to the abstract was discussed to overcome the objection to the abstract in 20060718. The amended abstract was referred to in applicant's amendment received September 27, 2006(see page 4 of amendment: Amendment to the abstract) but no abstract appeared to have been scanned with the amendment filed. In addition, an Examiner's amendment to claim 26 was discussed to correct a typographical error(changing 'detective volume' to -detected volume-).